Energy release in braided coronal loops

David I. Pontin University of Dundee, UK

with: Klaus Galsgaard, Gunnar Hornig, Simon Candelaresi, Miho Janvier, Sanjiv Tiwari, Amy Winebarger

8th Coronal Loops Workshop, Palermo, 27th June 2017

"The most exciting phrase to hear in science, the one that heralds new discoveries, is not Eureka!' (I've found it!), but 'That's funny.....'"

Isaac Asimov

Motivation





Parker, E.N., ApJ, 174, 499 (1972).

- Parker (1972) proposed braiding of magnetic field to explain coronal heating
- Field lines tangled by convective photospheric motions
- In corona R_m≫1 so evolution 'ideal' almost everywhere: topology preserved
- Parker argues that perturbed field can't relax to smooth force-free equilibrium (JxB=0) except in certain non-generic cases.
- Consequence: tangential discontinuities, i.e. current sheets, form → reconnection and heating.



The model magnetic field

Braiding by motions

- → field relaxation forming current sheets?
- → reconnection and energy deposition
- → plasma response
- Add six regions of twist to uniform **B**
- Subset of field lines in domain have pigtail braid linkage
- Net magnetic helicity (twist) is zero
- Could be generated by sequence of oppositesense rotations at photosphere



Field line tangling

- Braiding of field lines induces strong gradients in field line mapping -> foliation of thin layers
- Increase in tangling -> increase in number of layers
- Tangling can be visualised using 'squashing factor'

$$Q = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial y}\right)^2}{\left|\frac{\partial X}{\partial x}\frac{\partial Y}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial X}{\partial y}\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}\right|}$$







6.S-

2-

<u>۶.</u>۴-

G.0-∠

6.0

٦.5

2

[Pontin & Hornig 2015]

Field line tangling

- Braiding of field lines induces strong gradients in field line mapping -> foliation of thin layers
- Increase in tangling -> increase in number of layers
- Tangling can be visualised using 'squashing factor'

$$Q = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial y}\right)^2}{\left|\frac{\partial X}{\partial x}\frac{\partial Y}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial X}{\partial y}\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}\right|}$$









[Pontin & Hornig 2015]

Existence of equilibria

$$\mathbf{B} = B_0 \mathbf{e}_z + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} k(\mathbf{r}_i)^i \exp\left(-\frac{(x-x_i)^2 + y^2}{2} - \frac{(z-z_i)^2}{4}\right) \times (-y \, \mathbf{e}_x + (x-x_i) \, \mathbf{e}_y)$$

- We consider a sequence of braids of increasing complexity.
- For each braid in the sequence, perform an ideal relaxation (preserving topology, i.e. connectivity and tangling) towards a force-free equilibrium.
- Does this equilibrium contain thin (singular?) current layers?
- Computational ideal relaxation approach: Lagrangian mesh allows exact preservation of topology as equilibrium is approached. (<u>https://github.com/SimonCan/glemur</u>)





(Finite) current layers in equilibrium

- Recall equilibrium satisfies $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} \approx \mathbf{0}$
- Suppose that $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \alpha \mathbf{B}$ so that $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \text{const}$ along field lines.
- Suppose a has distribution with length scale ℓ on (e.g.) lower boundary
- Mapping along field lines, a naturally exhibits length scales on order of field line mapping on upper boundary: $\ell \propto \lambda_{min} (\lambda_{min} \text{ smallest eigenvalue of mapping Jacobian DF})$
- $\alpha = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{B}/B^2$, and so assuming $|\mathbf{B}| \sim O(1)$ then J_{\parallel} also has length scales on order of $\ell \propto \lambda_{min}$.
- Thus: if smooth force-free equilibrium exists, it must contain current layers at least as thin as layers in field line mapping





Existence of equilibria: ideal relaxation simulations

- For sequence of increasingly braided loops, equilibria obtained contain <u>finite</u> current layers.
- However, thickness of current layers decreases exponentially with braid complexity;
 |J| increases exponentially
- Identical scaling for thickness of layers of |J| and Q
- Scaling laws allow extrapolation beyond accessible range of tangling
 → estimate critical braiding level to trigger energy release
- Implication: continual braiding will inevitably lead to reconnection onset, even for coronal magnetic Reynolds number

Equilibrium current structure:



What happens when we turn on resistivity?



Observable signatures of energy release





[Pontin et al 2017]

Relating emission patterns to magnetic structure



Summary

- Smooth braided equilibria do exist (at least in some cases). However, equilibria must contain current layers whose thickness scales inversely with the braid complexity
 - ⇒ In solar corona continual braiding will inevitably lead to reconnection onset
- Critical braiding level in corona can provide onset threshold for 'nanoflare' energy release
- Energy release is via a turbulent relaxation that lasts many crossing times
- Absence of braided appearance in emissions does not preclude braiding of field lines.
- Ongoing work: analyse timescale for field line tangling by boundary motions. Compare to timescale for energy release.

For copies of papers see: <u>http://www.maths.dundee.ac.uk/~dpontin/publications.html</u>