# Exploring hot loop plasma with hard X-rays

Lindsay Glesener, on behalf of...

Team FOXSI: Shin-nosuke Ishikawa, Säm Krucker, Steven Christe...

Team NuSTAR: David Smith, Iain Hannah, Brian Grefenstette, Hugh Hudson, Paul Wright, Matej Kuhar...

Team EBTEL: Andrew Marsh, Jim Klimchuk, Steve Bradshaw



## Preview

Measuring hot plasma with hard X-rays (HXRs)

Direct focusing instruments: FOXSI and NuSTAR

Observations and constraints on hot plasma in quiescent active regions

New HXR accessibility to small flares

# Why hard X-rays?

- Hard X-rays are produced immediately and abundantly by hot plasma.
  - "Hot" = minimum 3, 5, 8 MK up to several 10s of MK.
- Fairly direct measure of accelerated electron distributions



#### Nonthermal

### **Difficulties:**

- 1. Rich, nuanced DEMs require supporting observations at lower energies.
- Low-energy cutoff (or entire power law) can be hidden beneath thermal component.
- 3. Resolution few (or several) arcsec

# How do we measure HXRs?



- Traditionally, Fourier imaging, were used (e.g. *RHESSI)*
- Limited sensitivity and imaging dynamic range.



# Better hard X-ray sensitivity is now available with *direct, focusing instruments*.

- X-rays can be focused at small, "grazing" angles of incidence
  - Double reflection on a Wolter-1 configuration
- Low background  $\rightarrow$  improved sensitivity
- Point spread function falls steeply, providing improved dynamic range.



# The Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR)



Instrument star tracker

- Astrophysics spacecraft not optimized for solar pointing
  - 800 cps max throughput  $\rightarrow$  high deadtime
  - 3-79 keV, but most solar observations <10 keV
- Best conditions: targets ≤GOES B5
  - Quiet active regions, small flares
  - Quiet-Sun regions

•

 Observations are planned 3-4 days in advance (minimum) or as planned coordinations with other spacecraft observing campaigns (better). NuSTAR

Grefenstette et al. (2016) Hannah et al. (2016) Kuhar et al. (2017) Wright et al., accepted Glesener et al., in revision Marsh et al., in revision

# Focusing Optics X-ray Solar Imager Sounding Rocket



For FOXSI-3: University of Minnesota NAOJ

4-20 keV

Flights:

- 2012 November 2
- 2014 December 11
- (planned) August 2018



#### **Goals:**

- Demonstrate focusing HXR optics optimized for the Sun.
- Look for indicators of nanoflares in active regions and the quiet Sun

FOXSI-1

FOXSI-2



## Quiescent active region measurements



## **FOXSI-1:** No detectable HXRs. This led to *constraints* on DEM (with Hinode)



## Quiescent active region measurements

### FOXSI-2: Clear detection of AR 12234 when not obviously flaring



- XRT+FOXSI together measure high-temperature slope of DEM.
- Diverges from power law >10 MK.



Ishikawa et al. (submitted)

## Other explanations for 7-9 keV emission?



10<sup>14</sup>

2

3

5

10

Temperature [MK]

20

We observe **16 photons** in 38 seconds

- Background? No
- Macroscopic event? No
- Cooler part of DEM? No

## Modeling impulsive heating in AR 12234

Parametric study of impulsive heating events using *EBTEL* fieldaligned simulations of *homogenous nanoflares* throughout the AR.



Andrew Marsh et al., in preparation

# Results: $\chi^2$ maps across parameters



- Lighter intensities = better fits
- Preference for short, strong events
- Best agreement for event separation of 1600-3000 seconds



# NuSTAR active regions have also been modeled $\rightarrow$ See Marsh paper

- Longer observations, extending to lower energies
- Data are available for several other ARs; ready for modeling.



Future steps in modeling HXR active region observations:

- Include distribution of nanoflares (e.g. power-law in energy)
- Include beam heating in additional to direct heating
- Perform field-aligned simulations
- Model more active regions!

## What about macroscopic flares?

- How do flare energetics scale as we go to small sizes?
- Do flares occur everywhere on the Sun?
- Sub-A class microflares are now being measured.
- Note that the NuSTAR and FOXSI (and RHESSI!) data points represent an isothermal approximation.
- NuSTAR has observed even smaller transient brightenings in the quiet Sun.



See also: Wright et al. (2017; accepted) Glesener et al. (in revision)

# Flare on 2015 Sept 01

Higher energies
 peak earlier

#### Estimated A0.2 class flare

- High-energy excess in impulsive phase → hotter or nonthermal component required.
- Thermal energy during flare peak is 1.8 x 10<sup>27</sup> ergs.
- Similar behavior to that observed in larger flares.





Glesener et al. (in revision)

# The future...



FXC 17

Exploring impulsive solar magnetic energy release with direct hard X-ray imaging spectroscopy

Proposed to NASA's 2016 Heliophysics Small Explorer (SMEX) AO.



Focusing Optics X-Ray Solar Imager

# **Mission Concept**

FOXSI is composed of two instruments:

- FOXSI X-ray Imager (FXI): Direct focusing hard X-ray instrument.
- X-ray Flux Sensor (XFS): Spatially integrated SXR spectroscopy.



#### **Expected FXI imaging performance**

# Small flares and active region heating

Determine how energy releases processes scale from the smallest to largest flares.

Individual flare sensitivity

Assess the degree to which impulsive events heat active region corona.



#### Predicted FOXSI results for ensembles of nanoflares



Distributions based on Lopez Fuentes & Klimchuk (2015, 2016)





- Proposed launch in 2022
- Two-year primary science phase

- PI: Steven Christe (GSFC)
- Deputy PI: Albert Shih (GSFC)
- Project scientist:
  Säm Krucker (UCB/SSL, FHNW)
- Instrument scientist: Lindsay Glesener (UMN)
- Imaging scientist:
  Pascal Saint-Hilaire (UCB/SSL)
- XFS Lead: Amir Caspi (SwRI)
- Hardware-providing institutions: GSFC, MSFC, UCB/SSL, UMN, SwRI, LASP, PAN, Orbital/ATK

